

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_98519
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinico-epidemiological profile of paediatric MIS-C patients presenting in a tertiary care hospital of India.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The work is very important, especially when it refers to paediatric cases during the COVID-19 pandemic. It should be modified for the reasons indicated in the revised text. It is recommended to change it to: Care of paediatric patients with Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome We propose to omit the statements of the sections in the Abstract. It should be seen as a unit and not as the sum of the sections of the work. It is suggested that the results be discussed in the same section and the Conclusions appear in a separate subsection. Positive, the manuscript is well written and follows a logical sequence. It is very explanatory. Since the case being studied is new in the paediatric field involved in the COVID-19 pandemic, the references are scarce and the author handles the most prominent ones. The work is interesting and explanatory in relation to the topic it deals with. It clears up doubts and proposes specific diagnoses and appropriate therapies that serve as references for other international paediatric services.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I think it is well used. Except for some details that are discussed in the revised text.	
Optional/General comments	With very few details to correct, I consider that it is an interesting work with the quality that is required and should be published.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Luis Remedios Hernández
Department, University & Country	Cuba