

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_97512
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of computed tomography imaging in pediatric abdominal masses
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The role of CT in medical imaging has grown significantly. There are numerous advantages of using CT over others. This article specifically talks about identifying abdominal masses in the pediatric population 2. The title is appropriate 3. The abstract is appropriate. It will certainly add more value if it discusses why CT is a better tool to use when compared to others. 4. Yes, the subsections are appropriate. It would be good to add what would have been undetected if they did not use CT in each of the case studies. 5. Yes. Adding a section descending the advantages of CT over the others would add value 6. Yes	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The last part of the abstract "It plays an important role to characterize the mass and extent of disease, and assist in presurgical planning." Can be written for better clarity as "It plays an important role in characterizing the mass and extent of disease and assisting in presurgical planning." Minor spell checks are needed	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pavan Kumar Chundi
Department, University & Country	United States of America