

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Pediatric Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJPR_97512</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Role of computed tomography imaging in pediatric abdominal masses</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1.yes 2.yes <a href="#">3.no</a> <a href="#">4.no</a> 5.yes 6.recent but not sufficient.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1.yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Dear authors, Congratulations on the article. the theme is unique. I will be giving some suggestions to increase the chance of it being published.</p> <p>1. abstract</p> <p>- In general, the case series abstract has two forms: narrative summary or structured summary. Authors need to put in one of the forms. - usually case series abstracts have important sections that must be described: Introduction , Case presentation, Management and Outcome, and Discussion.</p> <p>- see the article "Budgell B. (2008). Guidelines for the writing of case studies. The Journal of the Canadian Chiropractic Association, 52(4), 199–204."</p> <p>2. introduction</p> <p>- I found the text concise but I found that each paragraph lacked reference where these thoughts described in the text came from, - It is important to cite other case reports that analyzed what you are proposing to do. - see article Budgell B. (2008). Guidelines for the writing of case studies. The Journal of the Canadian Chiropractic Association, 52(4), 199–204</p> <p>3. Case presentation</p> <p>- Replace the phrase materials and methods with the phrase presentation of cases. Then go on to present each of the series of cases.</p> <p>- make clear the ethical issues involved in the review of cases. each patient must sign an informed consent form. The patient is currently allowed to participate as a co-author if desired.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Enter raw case data.</li><li>- Describe the complaint that brought the patient to you.</li><li>- Present the information that the authors obtained during the anamnesis.</li><li>- see article Budgell B. (2008). Guidelines for the writing of case studies. The Journal of the Canadian Chiropractic Association, 52(4), 199–204</li></ul> <p>4. Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- I liked the initial discussion, but I missed a discussion of the results presented with the current literature from the fifth paragraph onwards.</li><li>-see article Budgell B. (2008). Guidelines for the writing of case studies. The Journal of the Canadian Chiropractic Association, 52(4), 199–204</li></ul>	
--	---	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Karen Cordovil</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Oswaldo Cruz Foundation, Brazil</b>