

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORRIN_98610
Title of the Manuscript:	Retrograde migration of a ventriculoperitoneal shunt followed by scrotal migration after correction in the same infant with triventricular hydrocephalus: a case report and review of the literature.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajorrin.com/index.php/AJORRIN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	COMMON SUBJECT IN THE LITERATURE YES YES BUT WE NEED MORE ELEMENTS AND KEY WORDS YES YES WE NEED MORE REFERENCES WE NEED MORE RECENT REFERENCES '2019'2020'2021'2022'2023 PLEASE PUT THE REFERENCES IN A CORRECT FORM,IN A UNIQUE FORM ACCORDING THE RULES OF THE JOURNAL	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
Optional/General comments	COMMON SUBJECT IN THE MEDICAL LITERATURE'PLEASE MENTION THE INNOVATIVE ELEMENTS IF ANY PLEASE MENTION MORE ELEMENTS AS CONCLUSIONS	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nikolaos Syrmos'md'eans
Department, University & Country	Greece