

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORRIN_98610
Title of the Manuscript:	Retrograde migration of a ventriculoperitoneal shunt followed by scrotal migration after correction in the same infant with triventricular hydrocephalus: a case report and review of the literature.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajorin.com/index.php/AJORRIN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The manuscript is important as a case study. The recorded symptoms may will be helpful for other similar cases. 2. The title of the article is suitable 3. The abstract of the article comprehensive for a case report manuscript not research manuscript 4. Better to add recommendation 5. It is correct, it is case study manuscript. 6. The references are recent, better to use similar cases with same situations The manuscript is a case study report and review of the literature. It is not a research paper, there is no result from this work.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality need to be improved.	
Optional/General comments	I recommended to accept this manuscript after follow the above comments as a case report.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdelrahman Alkharabsheh
Department, University & Country	Khwarizmi International College, UAE