

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_98418
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing Eating Habits, Physical Activity, and Nutritional Knowledge Among Female Adolescents in Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>The manuscript is important for scientific community because it collected data, analysed and discussed results on the eating habits, physical activity and nutrition knowledge among adolescent girls from two locations in Saudi Arabia. The title is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract is fairly comprehensive. The aim of the study was not clearly stated in the abstract. Yes. However, It is better to input the tables in the result section so that the result presentation can be made easier and clearer. Yes.</p> <p>No. Most of the references are not recent. Over 75% of the references are older than 10 years.</p>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. But for some few typographical errors that need to be corrected.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The author(s) did not state if it was 100% of the administered questionnaires that were completed, returned and used to compute the results. This information is important in assessing the overall response rate from the respondents.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adelabu Joy Kikelomo
Department, University & Country	University of Ilorin, Nigeria