

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_99482
Title of the Manuscript:	HEARING IMPAIRMENT; BARRIER TO SOCIAL PARTICIPATION FOR SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS IN MERU DISTRICT-ARUSHA
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. <b>1. This paper is important for the scientific community, especially about students with disabilities.</b> 2. <b>The article title given is by the article's contents.</b> 3. <b>The abstract fulfills important points. However, the aims and types of research are not described.</b> 4. <b>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</b> 5. <b>Scientifically, the manuscript is correct.</b> 6. <b>The article references are in the latest edition. but there are still references that go past the last 10 years.</b> 7. <b>in the abstract, contains at least three keywords that describe the title and contents of the article</b> 8. <b>Please add GAP research analysis.</b> 9. <b>Please simplify the literature review</b> 10. <b>Please explain the type of research chosen in a qualitative design, for example, descriptive research, systematic literature review, ethnography, and others.</b> 11. <b>Please describe the sampling technique used.</b> 12. <b>In conclusion, please only use 1 paragraph. Likewise with recommendations, just 1 paragraph.</b> 13. <b>Kindly pay attention to writing techniques that must be adapted to the journal template. especially reference writing. whether to use APA style or other types.</b> 14. <b>Please simplify the amount of paper used. maximum 20 pages.</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	in general the article is good, coherent, and interesting.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Indah Suciati</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Alkhairaat University, Indonesia</b>