

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_99482
Title of the Manuscript:	HEARING IMPAIRMENT; BARRIER TO SOCIAL PARTICIPATION FOR SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS IN MERU DISTRICT-ARUSHA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yeah. I think the paper is important for scientific community 2. Yeah it is suitable 3. 3. Ok there is no problem with abstract 4. Yeah they are appropriate . However, the researcher can add more subsections especially in chapter 2 5. Yeah it is correct 6. Yea the references are to some extent new and recent The researcher can make the review more brief.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yeah. I think it is suitable	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Afshin Soori
Department, University & Country	IAU, Iran