

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_98493
Title of the Manuscript:	Emotional Freedom Techniques for Childhood Sexual Abuse Survivors
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	It is a very interesting work, however, it is recommended in the introduction to expand the importance of the emotional liberation techniques used in this work. It is necessary to be more specific in the research method, since it is confusing when mentioning qualitative and quantitative techniques, or if it was carried out in stages, as well as the ethical aspects of research. Mention the type of instrument for the qualitative part and the conditions in which it was carried out, as well as the obstacles presented if applicable, as well as the type of analysis considered, mention how the key subjects were identified to keep their anonymity. It is recommended to improve the presentation of the table in a graph without mentioning names and in a general way to integrate the data by dimensions, for a better understanding. The discussion should be worked on more, what is presented is a conclusion, its results should be discussed with the literature.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is clear and understandable.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The conclusions are good, but it is important to make some recommendations for future work.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sendy Meléndez Chávez
Department, University & Country	Veracruz University, México