

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_98493
Title of the Manuscript:	Emotional Freedom Techniques for Childhood Sexual Abuse Survivors
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Methodology not very sound</p> <p>Many references are in texts but missing in the Reference Section</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>OK</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">COMMENTS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • References- no citations in the article text. • EFT–evidence basis of this therapy is still scarce • Research instruments - description of instruments used for assessment needs to be restructured and simplified. • The setting involved (treatment of children at a residential facility alone without family members) in the study is not appropriate, as poor family support and revisiting the trauma during therapy without supervision is not advisable and children may report more distress. • PTSD is one of the most common disorder associated with CSA apart from depression, anxiety and stress. This disorder is not taken into consideration in the study. • Data treatment and analysis - standardized methodology used to code the themes gathered from analysis of cases needs to be specified. • Results- Profile of respondents- Is the author using pseudonyms to refer the patients / children (very important pre-requisite to maintain confidentiality of the CSA victims) • Discussion- generalization that due to personality/temperament factors children will not be amendable to change cannot be done as the demographics, severity of trauma and other psychosocial factors, protective and aggravating factors differs for each child. 	

Review Form 1.7

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The statistical significance of the therapy used (EFT) not done- the results therefore cannot be used as evidence.	
--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prakash B Behere
Department, University & Country	Raigmore Hospital, United Kingdom