

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_97937
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECT OF FUNDAMENTAL FACTORS IN PANDEMIC CONTEXT ON EARNINGS RESPONSE COEFFICIENT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebe.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The manuscript is okay for scientific community. 2. The title of the article is well captured. 3. The abstract did not report numerical findings of the impact of each of the independent variables on the dependent variable. 4. The structure of the manuscript is okay. However, the manuscript failed to include empirical literature review in section two. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct 6. The references are recent and okay. However, additional references from report of empirical literature would be more substantive.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There is need for serious editing. The manuscript has series of grammatical errors. Again, some paragraphs need to be rephrased.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Azebi Oyeinbrakemi Innocent
Department, University & Country	Federal University Otuoke, Nigeria