

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_98703
Title of the Manuscript:	Hydrogel plus mulching increases soil moisture, yield of yellow passion fruit and profits in Embu and Kiambu Counties, Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJAHR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The results of the manuscript may be useful for the Passion fruit growers for such problematic environmental conditions.</p> <p>“Effect of Hydrogel and Mulching on soil moisture, yield and economics on yellow passion fruit in Embu and Kiambu Counties, Kenya.” this title may be more suitable for this article Yes</p> <p>Revision required</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Few references (Highlighted with red colour) are mismatched from the text and reference list.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	1. Introduction must be shortened . 2. Materials and methods must re-write and shortened. 3. Result and Discussion should be clubbed together under the heading of “Results and Discussion”	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Radhey Shyam Singh
Department, University & Country	Mandan Bharti Agriculture College, India