

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_98703
Title of the Manuscript:	Hydrogel plus mulching increases soil moisture, yield of yellow passion fruit and profits in Embu and Kiambu Counties, Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJAHR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. the manuscript is good for scientific community, especially SWC and drought study 2. little modification on " Effect of Hydrogel and mulch's on yield increment of yellow passion fruit and profits 3. little modification i.e. grammatical and word coherence 4 and 5 ; yes , but it need little word format, font that is for more attraction the core idea of the paper good 6. enough but somewhat older Other comment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Introduction part should be as short as possible and explanatory,</li> <li>Some paragraph i.e. It looks like direct copy paste please make it systematic writing of others work (Khonglah et al. (2016)</li> <li>Heading, and sub heading was not perfectly seated, font, ...</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Little modification is required	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jemal Nur Hassen
Department, University & Country	Oromia Agricultural Research institute, Ethiopia