

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_99267
Title of the Manuscript:	Incidence and severity of blister mite (<i>Eriophyes erinea</i>) on Walnut (<i>Juglans regia</i> L.) under temperate conditions of Kashmir Valley
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, but the data need to be further discussed; 2. yes 3. yes 4. I don't see the need to divide the topics Incidence of blister mite on walnut and Correlation and Regression studies of blister mite with important weather parameters 5. yes, however the discussion needs to be deepened 7. No, in every article only seven references are presented, most of which are out of date. 8. (The article provides information on blister or gall mite infestation. But it remains to discuss the data given the number of articles cited. Correlation data with temperature and humidity are interesting, but need to discuss more about standard week)	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	need grammar review	
Optional/General comments	other suggestions in the text	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	José Oliveira Dantas
Department, University & Country	Instituto Federal de Sergipe, Brasil