

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_98557
Title of the Manuscript:	Camel Milk Consumption Trends and Drivers in Rajasthan: A Consumer Behaviour Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, the manuscript important for scientific community 2. Yes, it is. 3. Yes, the abstract is comprehensive. 4. Yes, the subsection and structure of the manuscript are appropriate 5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct, but its too longer and there is no discussion, I think the results need to explain. And reduce the tables in the manuscript 6. Yes, the references sufficient and recent. Under these conditions, the publication can only be done after: 1. Reduce the amount of tables 2. Reframe the discussion After satisfying these conditions, a new review is recommended.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language is quality	
Optional/General comments	We suggested the article is too longer, and there is a lot of tables, the authors must be reduce the articles	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hanaa A. Abokoura
Department, University & Country	Soils, Water and Environment Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Egypt