

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_98678
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF PROCESSING ON THE CHEMICAL COMPOSITION AND PHYTOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF <i>Lentinus squarrosulus</i> MUSHROOM FLOUR
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes, the 2 processing techniques provide useful information Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes In methodology there is no need to give details of analysis techniques for minerals ,bioactive components etc. Giving the technique name and reference will suffice Text with reference 21 & 22 is not clear, the entire paragraph should be re- written Energy level around 330 is not considered low, the information given is incorrect and should be deleted 3.3-Text containing references 29 and 30 needs to be re-written	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No	
Optional/General comments	The article is full of grammatical errors. It needs to be studied thoroughly and corrected	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anuradha Dutta
Department, University & Country	G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, India