

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_99854
Title of the Manuscript:	NON-WOOD FOREST PRODUCTS AND THEIR PARTICIPATION IN THE ECONOMY OF BULGARIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Yes. The subject of the paper is a very important theme to sustainability and</p> <p>2- Yes.</p> <p>3- Yes, but it is necessary a new writing after a reformulation of the paper.</p> <p>4- The addition of some subsections (as "economic analyses" and "NWFP reserves") is recommended and will increase the article value.</p> <p>5- Yes.</p> <p>6- No. It is important to improve citations in the introduction and discussion. For this, a better literature review is required.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1- A native speaker language review is highly recommended.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>It will be highly appreciated if the authors carry out a deep economic analysis of the collected data, accounting and also discussing not only the quantities of products used but also estimating the values (current and potential) of NWFP production based on market prices. This analysis can be compared with other forest and agricultural products and their impact on the country's economy.</p> <p>It would also be interesting to present a more complete analysis of unused NWFP reserves on a geographic basis and their potential for economic exploitation.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Marcos Miranda Toledo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária, Brasil</b>