

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_98729
Title of the Manuscript:	Municipal Solid Waste Management System in Enugu Metropolis South-Eastern, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljgeesi.com/index.php/JGEESI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. No. Because it is focusing on the major challenges only</p> <p>3. Abstract is incomplete because it does not give exact results of the study</p> <p>4. No because there is lack of the global picture on waste management and also other countries in West Africa which would give a very clear picture of the situation under study. The specific objectives are not clearly stated. The methods are not clearly described.</p> <p>5. Yes it is if the comments I have made are addressed appropriately.</p> <p>6. No the references are not sufficient – Check out UNEP and WHO websites for current waste management issues in Nigeria, and West African countries for effective description of the challenges you are researching and discussing.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Need to have effective English language revision</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes. It is not clear how the researcher collected data from local people without carrying out the relevant ethical requirements.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	David R. Mutekanga
Department, University & Country	Bugema University, Uganda