

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_100295
Title of the Manuscript:	STEM-CP Modul Based Science to Improve Student Independence and Learning Outcomes in Junior High School Science Learning
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. There is some point in the manuscript. But major correction needed on the writing and delivering the content.</p> <p>2. Suitable.</p> <p>3. Abstract is ok. But need to add the sampling technique.</p> <p>4. The subsection are enough but the writing style need to be improved. More discussion needed to support the findings.</p> <p>5. OK</p> <p>6. Need to add more reference.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	No, major improvement needed in the formatting and sentence structure.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Follow author guidelines Need to check for some major grammatical error and sentence structure. Recommend for proofread. Formatting also need to be checked since some of the full stop is not in the right position.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ana Haziqah A Rashid
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia