

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_100241
Title of the Manuscript:	INCEDENCE OF Treponema pallidum AMONGST STUDENTS OF DELTA STATE UNIVERSITY, SOUTH-SOUTH NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>I consider that it is important because it is a young population and that syphilis can lead to important complications</p> <p>2. If it is an incidence study, although some data were missing, such as the age at the beginning of sexual life</p> <p>3.If it is understood</p> <p>4.It is appropriate</p> <p>5. It is important to verify if the diagnostic test used that apparently detects Immunoglobulins M, G and A is specific and does not require confirmation or serum dilutions, because if so, the positive results for syphilis are validated. The students were labeled with syphilis, but it is necessary to clarify the specifications of the test and its scope</p> <p>6.The date of the references are acceptable, the important thing is the contents</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	the article is understandable	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	It is an interesting work, but the diagnostic method must be clarified	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nora Ortiz de Moreno
Department, University & Country	University of Panama, Panamá