

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_100385
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on Diversity of Some Local Jamun (Syzygium Cumini Skeels) Genotypes
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes (The jamun fruit is gaining popularity off late due to its potential health benefits as a result there is significant increase in the acreage of the fruit. Even today we are depended on the traditional cultivars which are of poor yielder. Hence, research work on the crop improvement through selection plays a very important role in selecting the superior genotypes. Hence, present study is having significance to the present consumer demand)</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes, some word repetitions and double digit numbering should be taken care</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Yes</p> <p>Apart from this, some of the corrections/modifications are suggested in the text in the form of track change and the same may be attended.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Overall, the manuscript has been formatted and presented properly.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Venkata Rao
Department, University & Country	College of Horticulture, University of Horticultural Sciences, India