

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJECC_100153 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Comparative effect of bioagents and Microalgae against anthracnose (Colletotrichum capsici) disease of chilli (Capsicum annum L.) |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u> | 1. Yes. Chilli is an important spice crop. Anthracnose of chilli is a disease which is responsible for quality degradation and low yield of chilli. Proper measure need to be taken to control this. This research uses combination of bioagent and microalgae to control the disease. So it is important for scientific community. 2. Yes 3. yes 4. More subsections need to be added under Materials and Methods and Results and Discussion 5. Yes 6. More references need to be added Use statistical tool to analyse data. Results and discussion need to be modified. | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes. Some typing mistakes are there in the manuscript | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Anindita Karmakar |
| Department, University & Country | Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, India |