

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_100184
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPACT OF AQUEOUS EXTRACT OF Solanum aethiopicum ON THE HAEMATOLOGICAL PROFILE AND BLOOD FILM OF WISTAR RAT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejns.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	 1. Preclinical investigations in the search for new therapeutic options for humans are important. 2. The title is a bit long, I suggest for example: IMPACT OF <i>Solanum aethiopicum</i> ON THE HAEMATOLOGICAL PROFILE OF WISTAR RAT 3. The abstract seems adequate. 4. The structure of the article seems adequate to me. 5. I think it is a well done and scientifically correct preclinical study. 6. The references seem correct to me.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I think it's correct	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Camilo Torres-Serna
Department, University & Country	Universidad Libre de Cali, Colombia