

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_86319
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Different Glomus Species on Growth and Survival of Acacia nilotica Seedlings
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Accept with minor adjustments (no further analysis required).	What needs improvement follows the guidelines in the text.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The article needs to undergo minor corrections, the theme proposal is very good, the authors did not make it clear what the objectives of the work are.	Material and methods: it is well written in a clear and objective way showing and describing all the collection areas of the study. Results: Describes in an understandable and very objective way showing the data of each area of study of the research.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The work is well delimited, with a clear and objective writing, the authors approached the subject very well, i leave here my congratulations for the work  Accept with minor adjustments (no further analysis required).	Discussion: It is clearly described, comparing the results with other authors and with articles that talk about the subject of the object of study, I suggest you look for more recent articles talking about the topic

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Elizangela Pereira Da Silva Sousa
Department, University & Country	Instituto Federal De Educação, Ciência E Tecnologia Do Piauí-Ifpi Pólo Campo Maior, Brasil