



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJRCS_59316 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effect of Cowpea Trap Crop on the Control of [Aphis gossypii (Glover)] in Zimbabwean Cotton. |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

| PART 1: Review Comments | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <p>Abstract part is more general and poor hence; it needs improvement by briefly highlighting the observations from the results with significant data.</p> <p>In first paragraph of Introduction part mention the Reference after Severe damage occurs during hot and dry periods (.....)</p> <p>Some spelling mistakes are found in the manuscript and the authors should make necessary corrections.</p> <p>Methodology is not clear and briefly explains the agronomic package of practices followed in raising the crops. Mere mentioning some reference for methodology is not sufficient.</p> <p>Authors have not clearly mentioned how exactly they have made the aphids observations? They are telling score in one place and in another place they are telling number of aphids.....It has to be clearly written.</p> | |
| Minor REVISION comments | <p>Introduction part is too lengthy and it may be reduced by deleting the text from Intercropping is a traditionally practiced agronomic technique of cultivating two or more crops.....(Rusinamhodzi, 2006). And also delete all the reference of this text from the REFERENCES List</p> | |
| Optional/General comments | <p>Instead of writing "Lady bird larval beetle population" it can be written as the grubs of lady bird beetle and also mention the scientific name of this beetle. Nowhere in the manuscript the scientific name is mentioned, so readers must know what exactly this Coccinellid spp?</p> <p>The study conducted long back (2010-2014) and is there any relevant of such study now, if yes such experiments may be reconducted for further confirmation.</p> <p>For this study Benefit Cost ratio (B:C Ratio) may be calculated..</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | B. S. Gotyal |
| Department, University & Country | ICAR- Central Research Institute for Jute and Allied Fibres, India |