



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_59295
Title of the Manuscript:	The Efficacy of Spinosad 48SC on control of Diparopsis castanea and Herlicoverpa amigera in Zimbabwean cotton.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	NIL	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The existing title of the article The Efficacy of Spinosad 48SC on control of <i>Diparopsis castanea</i> and <i>Herlicoverpa amigera</i> in Zimbabwean cotton must be revised as</p> <p>"Efficacy of Spinosad 48SC on the management of cotton bollworms <i>Diparopsis castanea</i> (Hubner) and <i>Herlicoverpa amigera</i> (Hampson) in Zimbabwean"</p> <p>The corrections are made and highlighted in Yellow marking should be followed</p> <p>Red highlighted marks should be removed</p> <p>The scientific names of the bollworms need to be furnished with author in the text also as indicated and highlighted in yellow colour in the abstract .</p> <p>The sentence three rates of Spinosad 48SC must be replaced as three doses of Spinosad 48SC in all the text wherever the mistake is noticed</p> <p>The tables should be in separate page and the headings needs to be revised as indicated</p> <p>The sentence bollworm insecticides must be revised as insecticides as the scientific name itself clear that these are bollworm</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>If photographs are furnished , it will add wait age for research trails at different locations.</p> <p>There are lot of spelling and grammatical error which could have been avoided.</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> No	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Manisegaran
Department, University & Country	Tamilnadu Agricultural University, Agricultural and Research Institute, India