

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_99306
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFORTS TO IMPROVE THE CAPACITY OF MIDWIFE IN THE VILLAGE TO CONDUCT THE COMMUNITY EMPOWERMENT PROCESS TOWARDS AN ACTIVE ALERT VILLAGE IN PIDIE JAYA DISTRICT
Type of the Article	Systematic Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1) Yes 2) Need to revise 3) Need to revise 4) Yes 5) After doing revision, it should be ok. 6) Please see the comment below.</p> <p>** This is a primary research where the researcher used cross-sectional, on the top of the title why it is mentioned "Systematic Review"?</p> <p>Title: too long</p> <p>Original title: "EFFORTS TO IMPROVE THE CAPACITY OF MIDWIFE IN THE VILLAGE TO CONDUCT THE COMMUNITY EMPOWERMENT PROCESS TOWARDS AN ACTIVE ALERT VILLAGE IN PIDIE JAYA DISTRICT"</p> <p>Suggestion title: IMPROVING THE CAPACITY OF MIDWIFE THROUGH COMMUNITY EMPOWERMENT PROCESS TOWARDS AN ACTIVE ALERT VILLAGE IN PIDIE JAYA DISTRICT</p> <p>Abstract: Please rephrase. Can start with background of the study, aim/objective, method, result, conclusion, implication.</p> <p>Introduction: Please add the objectives/ aims of the study.</p> <p>Method: please be specific on what is the method that used for this study. Eg. Are you using observational, analytical or cross sectional study. Please state one method. You can not have many method in one study unless you are using mixed-methods study.' Please mentioned the instrument/tools that used in this study. Please describe about data collection procedure, sampling method and the community empowerment procedure.</p> <p>The author has mentioned in the title "THE CAPACITY OF MIDWIFE IN THE VILLAGE TO CONDUCT THE COMMUNITY EMPOWERMENT PROCESS TOWARDS AN ACTIVE ALERT VILLAGE" why the sample only from midwives and why not include villagers?</p> <p>Result: please describe the data analysis procedure and before putting the results. Eg. The data were analysed by using.....</p> <p>Discussion: Please compare and contrast with previous studies findings instead of repeating the result.</p> <p>Conclusion: Please conclude your findings with own thought. Please do not repeat the result (%) in this session.</p> <p>What is the recommendation for future study?</p> <p>References: are you sure that you are using 74 references in this manuscript. Please check and reduce it.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thandar Soe Sumaiyah Jamaludin
Department, University & Country	International Islamic University Malaysia, Malaysia