

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_99912
Title of the Manuscript:	RE-IRRADIATION IN HEAD AND NECK SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA – A SINGLE INSTITUTE EXPERIENCE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljcti.com/index.php/JCTI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, technique, outcome and adverse effect of RE-IRRADIATION IN HEAD AND NECK SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA is very important topic that draws great interest of clinicians and researcher</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The author should use more suitable word to replace "behaviour" in the aim of the research - In the discussion you mention IGRT's advantages but I think in the whole research you used IMRT and VMAT 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language should be more polished and academic, especially the figure legends and table.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Author should refer to the table and figure in the Result/discussion sections and mention where you want to place table/figure</p> <p>I think the main drawback of this study is the limited number of patients (72 patients in 6 years). Therefore, their conclusion could not be representative but just an observation.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bui Vinh Quang
Department, University & Country	Hanoi Oncology Hospital, Vietnam