

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_100581
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Sulphur and Foliar Spray of Micronutrients on Growth and Yield of Zaid Groundnut (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i>) (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Short research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the item of manuscript is important for Scientific community ; it is important to have more datas on the optimized dozes to use of macro and micronutrients for the plants; here Sulphur with Zinc and Boron for Zaid Groundnut in India.</p> <p>I suggest some modifications as follow Effect of Sulphur and Foliar Spray of Micronutrients on Growth and Yield of Zaid Groundnut (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i> L.)</p> <p>It must be re-done and restructured; I mean it must contain the aim of the study; a brief materials and methods, the design of the experiment then the results and conclusion. There are some mistakes in it that must be corrected mainly on the soil analyses. Yes but the presentation of the results is weak and the Journal template is not respected</p> <p>The experiment; the results and their discussion YES but the rest of the article must be seriously redone</p> <p>They could be sufficient but they must be completed and some ones corrected</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>There are several things to review, the author has to read carefully the template of the Journal and to follow its guidelines.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The author has to review the results on the soil analyses and to report them in the abstract as they are - The references have to be redone because some ones are in the text but not in the reference list, and others are in reference list but not in the text. The author has to pay attention in the references if it's (Author alone; Author and one other Author or Author <i>et al</i>) because it's different. The reference in the text must have a number which must reported in reference list. They must be in an order related to their appearance in the text. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zakia Bouzoubaa
Department, University & Country	INRA, Morocco