

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_100455
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Healthcare Workers' Knowledge, Attitude and Practices of Aseptic Techniques in Primary Health Care Centres in Edo State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmpcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Yes</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Not suitable better to titles as</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Partially yes,</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Reference are sufficient but not recent</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for scientific community to gain understanding of infection prevention knowledge, attitude and practice of health care workers and to take appropriate intervention</p> <p>Knowledge, Attitude and Practices of Health care workers on Aseptic Techniques in Primary Health Care Centres in Edo State, Nigeria Needs to follow journal author guidelines</p> <p>result and discussion are separated, recommendation section is not such important as subheading rather integrated with the conclusion section</p> <p>More than half 21 out of 40 reference are not recent</p> <p>Method section is not clear specially on measurement of outcome variable and barriers of aseptic technique practices</p> <p>Result write up should be clear based on logical flow of the findings and table formats are not appropriate and method section how do you measure outcome variables and how do you identify barriers of aseptic techniques that is not clear</p> <p>Discussion and conclusion should be rewrite again based on the findings of the study</p> <p>Combine recommendation as part of conclusion</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? partially</p>	Language needs to be edited with professional with multiple grammar correction	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Abstract word count is greater than 300</p> <p>Data analysis method is not clear needs serious revision how to measure outcome variables</p> <p>Acknowledgment should be incorporated</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tadele Fentabil Anagaw
Department, University & Country	Bahir Dar University, Ethiopia