

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_99349
Title of the Manuscript:	Transgenders: The Neglected Group in Health care
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>It is an important issue for society, as transgender people often suffer from difficulties in much of the environment in which they live, particularly with regard to health.</p> <p>Yes, the title is now well debated in society.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract is cohesive</p> <p>Revisions are needed to make the article scientifically sound</p> <p>The article needs broader explanations and more sources for a better understanding of the subject addressed.</p> <p>They are recent references, but within the references found, it would be ideal to report more on the subject.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language is clear and cohesive.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1 – It is suggested to insert the references in the body of the text.</p> <p>2 – It would be ideal for the author to report what types of pathologies transgender people suffer, as he mentions in the text that professionals, especially nursing, understand the guidelines for caring for a transgender person.</p> <p>3- It would be necessary to review the methodology, keywords, how many journals were found, inclusion and exclusion criteria for the articles found, in which sources the articles were found, such as: Medline, pubmed, scielo, lilacs... which ones?</p> <p>4- In the results and discussion remove the markers, make a narrative text inserting the communication between authors.</p> <p>5 – Observe the formatting according to the rules of the newspaper.</p> <p>6-Enter the objective of the work</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mônica Barbosa de Sousa Freitas
Department, University & Country	Florida Christian University, USA