

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_100400
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of Different Levels of NPK and FYM on Growth and Yield of Cluster bean (<i>Cyamopsis tetragonoloba</i> L.) var. Neelam-61
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	yes Yes Yes Yes After reviewing the manuscript it is suggested revision as illustrated: 1. The Materials & Methods need minor revision . 2. Some gramical mistakes in paper please correct. Used latest unit are used all places. 3. Reference list are long old ref. remove in text cited and bibliography. 4. The Reference section should be revised as per proper uniform style and format of the Journal.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	good	
Optional/General comments	After minor Correction than I recommendation paper for published	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ratan Lal Solanki
Department, University & Country	MPUAT, India