

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_100152</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The lake city of Ganvié in the face of environmental development challenges: a review of the literature</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lac k of N o velt y**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>L = Line</p> <p>Abstract:            "...drivers, consequences, existing interventions and policies, and potential solutions." -&gt; Be more specific.            What are the main drivers? consequences? policies? solutions?</p> <p>L53-54:  <b>"3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION"</b>  <b>3.1. RESULTS</b> -&gt; I don't think a section called "results and discussion" is appropriate for a review article.            Use titles according to the content.</p> <p>L58: "<i>Toffinu</i> (literally "men of the water")" and L64-65: "nown as "men of the water" -&gt; repetitive. L83 and</p> <p>L84: "people/km2" -&gt; format people/km<sup>2</sup> (superscript the number 2)</p> <p>L90: "The figure below shows..." -&gt; no not use "figure below", use the number -&gt; The Figure 1 shows...</p> <p>Figure 1: The resolution and size of Figure 1 is not good. I couldn't read. Figure 2: Figure 2 was not mentioned in the text</p> <p>L121: "part of Ramsar site 1018" -&gt; What does it mean? I didn't understand.</p> <p>L128 and L129: "million m3" -&gt; superscript 3 (m<sup>3</sup>)</p> <p>L140: "As shown in the Figure above" -&gt; do not use "above", use the number of the figure.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>Figure 3: Figure 3: Y-axis resolution is not good. L143</p> <p>and L144 and L151: “%” -&gt; % ?</p> <p>Figure 4: “Figure 4 was not mentioned in the text”</p> <p>L171-172: why are some parameters in bold? L175:</p> <p>Two full stop.</p> <p>L169: Give the meaning of the abbreviations "BOD" and "COD".</p> <p>L172-173: The parameters listed on lines 172 and 173 are not the same parameters that were described in the sequence, it's strange.</p> <p>L186, 188, 189, 192-193, 197: “mg/l” -&gt; mg/L (check the "mg/l" unit throughout the entire text)</p> <p>L184: Give the meaning of “WHO”</p> <p>L202: “N-NO3 + N-NH4” -&gt; format 3 and 4</p> <p>L222: “P-PO4” -&gt; format 4</p> <p>Figure 5: Figure 5 was not cited in the text. The resolution is not good, I couldn't read it. L285:</p> <p>“According to Kaki et al. in the town of...” -&gt; missing the year.</p> <p>L295: “The Table below summarizes...” -&gt; Do not use “below” -&gt; use the number of the table.</p> <p>Table 1: What does “Lac” mean?</p> <p>L327: “The plate below presents...” -&gt; Do not use “below”, use the appropriate number. Why “Plate” instead of “Figure”? I think it would be called “Figure”.</p> <p>- Page 10: number the illustration.</p> <p>L371 (page 11): Why “Plate” instead of “Figure”? I think it would be called “Figure”. L376:</p> <p>“lead. this is not” -&gt; lead. This is not</p>	
--	--	--

Review Form 1.7

	<p>L372: "...of these environmental problems" -&gt; what environmental problems? Specify. Table 2: Table 2 was not cited in the text</p> <p>Page 12: The illustration was not cited in the text. Why "Plate" instead of "Figure"? I think it would be called "Figure".</p> <p>L391: "We also..." -&gt; do not use "we". Don't be personal. L397: "CH<sub>4</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>" -&gt; format 4 and 2</p> <p>L422: "sine qua none" -&gt; ???</p> <p>L422-423: Check this sentence.</p> <p>L428: Give the meaning of "ACED".</p> <p>L427-435: Reference?</p> <p>L436-443: Reference?</p> <p>L508: "Conclusion" -&gt; I think "Final considerations" would be better for a review article. L510: "In conclusion," -&gt; delete.</p> <p>L513: "we have identified" -&gt; do not use "we". Don't be personal.</p> <p>References</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- check if the references really need to be numbered or only alphabetical order.</li><li>- Check that all references cited throughout the text are in the list and that all references in the list are cited throughout the text. Use the command "Ctrl + F".</li></ul>	
--	--	--

**Review Form 1.7**

<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Thiago Simonato Mozer</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidade Federal Fluminense, Brazil</b>