

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJECC_100152 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | The lake city of Ganvié in the face of environmental development challenges: a review of the literature |
| Type of the Article | Review Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>The paper is very important and addresses an urgent issue in the world today. The need to understand trends and manage of environmental challenges cannot be over-emphasized. The area of study clearly shows the need for this review. The need of holistic approach in addressing the challenges affecting the population in this area gives a good guide for future action by stakeholders.</p> <p>The title is ok The author to improve the abstract by adding some key findings, conclusion and potential solution</p> <p>These are well done</p> <p>This needs to be enhanced to reflect a review article: The author indicates that this is a review paper and that any ground work was for verification. However, some, in fact most important results are not referenced. For example, finding on water levels, salinity, physical, chemical biological contaminations e.t.c are not referenced. I suggest the author gives references especially where figures are cited and if the analysis was done then method of study to be inserted.</p> <p>The author has done an extensive review but most work lacks references which is the thrust of this study. The review article will justified by acknowledging others who have done the work reviewed</p> <p>Some correction are indicated on the reviewed manuscript</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | Yes | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | This is a very good piece of work and suggested improvement, is appropriate for publication | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Name: | Mary Baaru |
| Department, University & Country | Kenyatta University, Kenya |