

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Biotechnology Journal International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_BJI_99718 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Control Efficacy of Jingdusha Against Corynespora cassicola on Cucumber |
| Type of the Article | Short Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalbjournal.com/index.php/BJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | Yes, the manuscript important for scientific community especially for stakeholders in the agricultural sector, both cultivation and industry in the field of pest and disease control. Yes, it is Yes, it is Need to re-adjust it according to the journal manuscript template. Move discussion from the Conclusion section to Result and Discussion section. Add more references to discuss the result. Reduce the number of paragraphs in the conclusion section to one paragraph. Yes - | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes | |
| Optional/General comments - | - | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Dian Susanti |
| Department, University & Country | Research Center for Pharmaceutical Ingredient and Traditional Medicine, The Health Research Organization, Indonesia |