

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJSSPN_99897</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Host status of two cover crops (<i>Arachis repens</i> L. and <i>Desmodium adscendens</i> Sw.) and effect on root infestation of the “Great Dwarf” dessert banana cultivar (<i>Musa</i> sp.) by plant-parasitic nematodes in Southeast Côte d’Ivoire</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajsspn.com/index.php/AJSSPN/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><b>Required REVIEW Comments</b></p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important to the scientific community?</b> (Please write a few sentences in this manuscript) R/ the manuscript is very important for the scientific community, due to the subject of the research. It is a subject about the control of banana production in costa de marfil. I believe that this research is of great importance, not only for this african country, producer of banana, but also for other countries producing this fruit in the world.</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article adequate?</b> (If not, please suggest an alternative title) R/ the title of the article was very complete, therefore i consider that it is not appropriate and should be completed. in the revision of the article a new title was suggested.</p> <p>3. <b>Is the article summary comprehensive?</b> R/ The Abstract Does Not Follow The Guidelines Of The Journal And Should Be Reformulated.</p> <p>4. <b>Are the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> R/ the article has been divided into subsections to facilitate its comprehension. I believe they are appropriate.</p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> R/ the manuscript is scientificly correct and without a few suggestions indications to improve the writing, they must be made.</p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them on the review form.</b> R/ the number of references is adequate and presents more than 50% of references from the last years. Its wording is correct both in the article and in the final list of references.</p> <p>(in addition to the 6 points mentioned above, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> <p>R/ this article is well structured and unless indications made, must be corrected to improve its writing. It is a subject of interest for banana producing countries.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Is the language/English quality of the article adequate for scholarly communications?</b> R/ I Consider That The Article Is Well Written In The English Language And Unless Some Indications Must Be Corrected, For Its Publication</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anselmo Enrique Ferrer Hernandez</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Havana University, Cuba</b>