

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_99569
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation of C-Reactive Protein and Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate in Covid-19
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community as it gives insights on the Correlation of C-Reactive Protein and Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate in Covid-19 which is important for the diagnosis of COVID-19</p> <p>Yes, the title is ok</p> <p>The abstract is ok but the short terms used must be given in full form at least once in very first appearance will help the reader.</p> <p>The subsections and structures are appropriate</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>The references are sufficient.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is ok, just need to check the punctuation used.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abu Md Ashif Iqbal
Department, University & Country	Assam University, India