

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_100552
Title of the Manuscript:	Meckel's Diverticulum at Mesenteric location, A Rare Entity : Two Case reports
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This entity is quite rare so cases well presented are always welcomed</p> <p>The title is suitable</p> <p>The abstract should be concentrated to the cases and do not provide the general idea and a discussion on Meckel diverticulum</p> <p>The introduction is well-written The cases should present any radiological and laboratory findings in the preoperative assessment, especially in the second cases. Findings should be discussed in the discussion. The later should be better organised and contain discussion of surgical techniques as well.</p> <p>As we usually do not rush to an operation nowadays and try to watch and see when there is not pressing need The point should be clarified in both cases Of course Meckel diverticulum is removed whenever found to avoid future complications The discussion on the otherhand should be focus on the decision to operate on which the diverticulum was collateral finding Mwila PK, et al.Meckel's diverticulum located at the mesenteric edge of the ileum in an adult male: case report PAMJ 2019:1:1-7</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	Minor changes are needed	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Panagiotis Tsibouris</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>NIMTS General Hospital, Greece</b>