

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJARR_100196   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | EFFECT OF DIFFERENT CONCENTRATIONS OF 1-MCP AND VARIED STORAGE ENVIRONMENTS ON PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS AND CONSUMER ACCEPTABILITY OF SOLO PAPAYA (Carica papaya L.) FRUITS |
| Type of the Article      |   |

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes, but need a minor correction</p> <p>yes</p>  |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>   | <p>I'm not feel qualificate to evaluate language level.</p>   |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  | <p>Manuscript presented to review with title: "Effect of different concentrations of 1-mcp and varied storage environments on physical characteristics and consumer acceptability of solo papaya (<i>Carica papaya</i> L.) fruits" is very interesting and well written.</p> <p>Presented manuscript is on good scientific level and represent a well scientific value manuscript.</p> <p>Summary. Authors give a short presentation of manuscript. This section is well constructed.</p> <p>Keywords: please arrange keywords in alphabetical order. It will be easier for reader.</p> <p>Introduction section.</p> <p>Page 1 lines 20-21 please add values for vitamin A and C content in papaya flesh.</p> <p>Material and methods</p> <p>Page 3, line 67: cultivar names should be given in single quote (") not double ("). Please change it</p> <p>All tables with data are clear and good constructed.</p> <p>The presented discussion is a well-developed element of the work. The obtained results were compared to those available in the literature. The authors also tried to explain the mechanisms of the processes that occurred. To make better discussion reading Authors divide section for sub-sections. It is a good idea.</p> <p>Conclusion section is too long (in my opinion). Please make it shorter.</p> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

|  |   |  |
|--|---|--|
|  | General opinion: After carefully manuscript reading, I think, that presented manuscript is a very valuable. In my opinion Manuscript should published with some correction according to my suggestions and after that publish in Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports |  |
|--|---|--|

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Ewelina Hallmann</b>                           |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Warsaw University of Life Sciences, Poland</b> |