

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_100569
Title of the Manuscript:	Cultural problems of elderly women in rural and urban areas of Nuh and Hisar district of Haryana.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, The author has written a good paper that will be useful in the field of knowledge. The objective is clear, the sections are well arranged.</p> <p>yes</p> <p>In the abstract, mention the method used in the article.</p> <p>yes</p> <p>The author did not specify what method he used, the author should state the method and explain about it.</p> <p>The author has used few and limited references, so the author should use more and new references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	English language needs editing	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I have the following observations which I believe the author needs to work on:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- The background of the research should be written in the introduction section. 2- The author has used few and limited references, so the author should use more and new references. 3- Write the formula by which the sample size is calculated. For example, Morgan's table or Cochran's formula is used. 4- Please compare your findings with relevant studies in the results and discussion section. 5- The author did not specify what method he used, the author should state the method and explain about it. 6- At the end, the author should draw a table and list the results in it. <p>The font used is not suitable for writing the article.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maryam Shamsaei
Department, University & Country	Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Iran