

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_93470
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Analysis of Research output of Tamil Nadu Agricultural University as reflected in Scopus and Web of Science
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">-Proper use of subject-verb agreement shall be used in writing any paper.-The paper should undergo language editing to check the grammar of the self-constructed paragraphs. It will help in upholding the quality of the paper.-Research's introduction used data from other sources, thus, indicate the source of these data.-Add further discussions in your review of related literature.-The objectives of the study should be attained and further discussed each across your paper.-Add more information in the conclusion that will make the study more solid and use deeper explanation and analysis of data.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">-You may add your research instrument for easy reference.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joey M. Mataac
Department, University & Country	Philippines