

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JPRI_98486</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Prevalence, knowledge, attitude and practice of acne vulgaris among students of health-related science colleges at university of Jordan</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Acne is one of the most common skin diseases of young people and a proper attitude of its treatment and prevention could serve to decrease this pathology. Sociological research given in the article is relevant and has allowed authors to estimate a level of knowledge of the acne problem among Jordan medical students.</p> <p>2. Propose to change the title "Prevalence, knowledge, attitude and practice of acne vulgaris among students of health-related science colleges at university of Jordan" on "Evaluation of acne prevalence and assessment of knowledge, attitude and practice regarding this disease among students of health-related colleges at university of Jordan"</p> <p>3. Yes the abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>4. Yes subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>5. Generally the manuscript is scientifically correct. Some issues need to be clarified</p> <p>6. References are recent and meet the manuscript topic</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Language quality is sufficient and clear for comprehension of main ideas and conclusions of authors. There are some typos and errors in text formatting which need to be corrected</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. In Materials and methods "Measuring knowledge' score" is given as points from 0 to 15. But in table 3 <i>Knowledge score of students of health-related colleges</i> students' knowledge score is given in %. Correlation between these two units is unclear.</p> <p>2. Table 1 <i>Prevalence of acne vulgaris among students of health-related colleges</i> Not clear if the presences of acne and BMP have been self-evaluated by students or by specialists conducting this research. Should be mentioned methodic of acne and BMP estimation.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	YudinaYuliia
Department, University & Country	National Technical University "Kharkov Polytechnic Institute", Ukraine