

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_99510
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on the soil application of micronutrients and its influence on growth, yield and quality of chow chow (<i>Sechium edule</i> (Jacq) Swartz)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscripts written are research is in the field of plants and supporting agricultural progress to strengthen food security in communities 2. The title of the article is in accordance with the contents 3. The abstract article is complete but it but still needs to be supplemented with need to be completed with the writing of research objectives 4. The structure of the manuscript still needs additions and manuscripts are necessary equipped with a map according to the journal template for writing conclusions 5. The script is correct but needs to be completed again 6. Writing references needs to look at the procedures for writing journals and adjust them to the journal template 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The quality of the writing is quite good 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This article still needs improvement if it is published in a journal and need to check again the similarity</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anang Susanto
Department, University & Country	Merdeka University, Indonesia