

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_100542
Title of the Manuscript:	INFESTATION SUPPRESSION UNDER EVALUATION OF ECOFRIENDLY INSECTICIDES AGAINST MAJOR INSECT PESTS OF RICE IN EASTERN UTTAR PRADESH CONDITIONS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Required REVIEW Comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important to the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences in this manuscript) R/ IT IS IMPORTANT FOR THE SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY ACCORDING TO THE RESEARCH THEME. PEST CONTROL OF RICE, VERY IMPORTANT FOR INDIA, A MAJOR PRODUCER OF THIS PRODUCT. 2. Is the title of the article adequate? (If not, please suggest an alternative title) R/ I CONSIDER THAT IT IS APPROPRIATE TO THE RESEARCH TOPIC. 3. Is the article summary comprehensive? R/ THE SUMMARY MUST BE READ ACCORDING TO THE JOURNAL'S GUIDELINES. IT HAS BEEN REMARKED FOR STORAGE. 4. Are the subsections and the manuscript appropriate? R/ THE AUTHORS DIDN'T MAKE A SUBSECTION, WHICH MAKES ITS ADEQUATE INTERPRETATION DIFFICULT. IT IS RECOMMENDED TO FOLLOW THE GUIDELINES OF THE JOURNAL AND MAKE SUBSECTIONS ABOUT ALL MATERIALS AND METHODS. SOON IN RESULTS AND DISCUSSION REPORT ABOUT THIS SUBSECTION. 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? R/ THE MANUSCRIPT DEALS WITH A VERY INTERESTING SUBJECT, BUT ACCORDING TO HOW IT WAS PRESENTED, IT IS DIFFICULT TO UNDERSTAND IT. I BELIEVE THAT THE RESEARCH IS WELL DEVELOPED. THEY JUST NEED TO IMPROVE THE WRITING. 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them on the review form. R/ THE REFERENCES ARE SUFFICIENT, 28 REFERENCES, 22 BETWEEN THE YEARS 2002 AND 2021. 6 FROM 1960-UP TO 1998. YOU MUST ARRANGE ACCORDING TO THE JOURNAL'S GUIDELINES. <p>(In addition to the 6 points mentioned above, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> <p>R/ INTERESTING ARTICLE, ONLY WITH ERRORS FOR NOT FOLLOWING THE GUIDELINES OF THE JOURNAL. MUST BE CORRECTED ACCORDING TO THE SIGNALS MADE BY THE REVIEWER AND UNDERLINED IN PURPLE OR FOR ERRORS LOCATED IN GREEN FOR CORRECT AUTHORS AND IN BLUE-YELLOW FOR AUTHORS' CONSIDERATION</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>YES, THE LANGUAGE/ENGLISH QUALITY OF THE ARTICLE SUITABLE FOR SCHOLARLY COMMUNICATIONS</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anselmo Enrique Ferrer Hernandez
Department, University & Country	Havaana University, Cuba