Original Research Article

EFFECT OF CHITOSAN ON STORAGE BEHAVIOUR OF SAPOTA *Manilkara achras*(Mill) fosbergcv. 'Kalipatti'

ABSTRACT:

The present study was undertaken to study effect of chitosan treatments on storage behaviour of sapota *Manilkara achras* (Mill) fosberg cv. Kalipatti stored at ambient temperature. The climacteric rise in sapota fruits shortens the postharvest life and degrades the quality of the fruits. A polysaccharide like chitosan has been used as an edible coating to extend the storage life of sapota fruits, which is an innovative approach for the fruit preservation and towards sustainable development. Chitosan-based coatings have tremendous potential in several fruits to extend the shelf-life. An investigation was carried out to know the effectiveness of post-harvest treatments with edible coatings (0.5%, 1%, 1.5%, and 2% chitosan) which compared with control. 1% chitosan coating resulted maximum fruits (more than 75%) having shelf life of 7 days under ambient condition which was at par with 1.5% chitosan coating. Chitosan coating reduced the physiological losses in weight as compared to the uncoated fruits. The maximum retention of physical parameters such as fruit firmness, specific gravity, colour L*, a* and b* values as well as slow ripening and less spoilage behaviour was observed in chitosan coated sapota fruits.

Key words: Sapota, Chitosan, Physical parameters, Shelf life.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Introduction:

Sapota (*Manilkara achras)* is a tropical fruit native to Mexico and Central America and belongs to the family Sapotaceae. It is a climacteric fruit which requires ethylene to ripen due to which it gets ripens within 3-5 days after harvest (Lakshminarayana and Subramanyam, 1966)[13].Sapota is referred by many names, including chico, sapodilla, lamut, and chicle, among others. Fruits from it are almost available round the year. It is robust, extremely productive, and typically free of harmful pests, illnesses, and physiological issues. As a result, it has become a significant fruit crop that is widely grown in India.

In India, sapota ranks fifth both in production and consumption in next to mango,banana, citrus and grapes (Shrivastava et al., 2017) [18]. Sapota is grown mainly in coastal areas such as Maharashtra, Gujarat, Karnataka and Tamil Nadu. It is consumed mostly indigenously. Sapota contains various important nutrients which has certain health benefits (Anand et al., 2007) [3].The fruits have an appreciable amount of protein, fat, fibre, calcium, phosphorus, iron, carotene and vitamin C. It is also rich in bio-iron required for the formation of haemoglobin (Jaishankar And Kukanoor, 2016) [8].

Fruits like sapota have a very short shelf life when stored in ambient conditions because they are highly perishable. Furthermore, it is delicate to cold storage (Sudha et al., 2007)[19]. Fruit post-harvest losses are high in tropical countries like India, ranging between 25 and 30%. The respiration rate and ethylene production significantly increase during ripening, which happens quickly. All of which classify it as a fruit with a very short shelf life and a high perishability, making its commercialization more challenging (Jaishankar And Kukanoor, 2016)[8].

With their strong climacteric ripening behaviour and high perishability, sapota fruit must be handled carefully after harvest in order to transport for distant markets in the best possible condition. The postharvest

quality and shelf life of sapota fruits are affected by pre-harvest factors like plant nutrition and post harvest factors like storage conditions, and packaging (Madani et al., 2018)[14].

Chitosan plays a vital role in post-harvest management of horticultural crops by minimizing post-harvest losses and enhancing the quality of produce. Chitosan is currently employed in post-harvest fruit preservation. The main advantages of edible active coatings are to preserve the quality, increase the shelf life, and guard against microbiological decomposition of fresh fruits. The chemical compound chitosan has a wide range of possible uses in the chemical, biological, food, pharmaceutical, and medical industries. Because of its film-forming abilities, antibacterial effects, lack of toxicity, biodegradability, and biochemical features, chitosan has been shown to be one of the finest nutritionally and physiologically safe preservative coatings for various sorts of foods. Permeable films on fruit surface, modify the fruit internal atmosphere, regulate gas exchange, reduce transpiration losses, delay the ripening, and maintain the quality of harvested fruit (kaya et al., 2016)[9]

The chitosan based edible coating with a known allergen on the food products should be clearly understood and labeled. This is because of the fact that chitosan coating with many kinds of antimicrobial agents are made from ingredients that might cause the allergic reaction on the surface of fruits and vegetables (Xing et al., 2016)[20].

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

The present investigation entitled study on effect of chitosan on storage behaviour of sapota [*Manilkara achras* (Mill) Forsberg] cv. 'Kalipatti' was undertaken at the Laboratory of Department of Post Harvest Management of Fruit, Vegetable and Flower Crops, Post Graduate Institute of Post Harvest Technology and Management (PGI-PHTM), Killa-Roha, Dist- Raigad (Maharashtra State) during the year 2021-22. The experiment was laid out in Completely Randomized Design (CRD) with four replications (25 fruits / replication). There were five treatments viz., T_1: Control, T_2: 0.5% Chitosan, T_3: 1% Chitosan, T_4: 1.5% Chitosan and T_5: 2% Chitosan under ambient condition.

Edible coating preparation and fruit treatment:

The chitosan solution having concentration of 7% was purchased from the market. Chitosan solution of different concentrations i.e., 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, and 2.0 per cent w/v was made. Solutions were homogenously mixed with the use of hot magnetic stirrer 1200rpm for 5 minutes each. The freshly harvested fruits were washed in water, dried, and dipped in coating solution for five min followed by air drying. After surface drying, the fruits of each treatment were kept in cardboard boxes of previously placed on the laboratory of PGI-PHTM of FVF Department at ambient storage condition. During storage the fruits were observed daily (after 24 hrs) for changes in physical and chemical parameters.

Physical parameters

Colour

The colour reader (make Konica Minolta, Japan CR-10) used to determine skin colour of sapota fruits and expressed as L*, a* and b* values.

Physiological Weight in Loss (%)

The sapota fruits were weighed initially as well as at each storage interval. The difference between the initial and final weights of fruit was regarded as a complete loss of weight. Results were presented using standard method of and expressed as a percentage loss of the starting weight (AOAC 1994)[4].

$$\textbf{PLW (\%)} = \frac{\textbf{Initial weight (g)} - \textbf{Final weight (g)}}{\textbf{Initial weight (g)}} \textbf{x100}$$

Specific gravity of fruits

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Individual fruit was weighed on monopan sensitive electronic balance. Volume of fruit was determined by water displacement method using a measuring cylinder and average volume of 10 fruits was recorded in milliliters (ml). The specific gravity of fruit was computed by dividing the values of fresh weight of fruit by that of volume and average of 10 fruits was calculated by formula.

Specific gravity of fruit = Weight of fruit (g)/ Volume of fruit (ml)

Fruit firmness (kg/cm^2)

Total ten fruits per treatment were observed for fruit firmness and determined by using fruit pressure tester and recorded at kg/cm^2

Ripening and spoilage pattern

For studying the ripening and spoilage pattern fifty fruits per treatments were observed for stages like unripe, half ripe, ripe, shrivelled and diseased at every alternate day.

Sensory evaluation

The fruits treated with various concentrations of chitosan were evaluated for their organoleptic qualities like colour, flavor, texture and overall acceptability on a hedonic scale (Amerine *et al*. 1965)[2] as given below.

A panel of six judges was selected based on their consistency and reliability of judgment. The panelists were asked to score the differences between the samples by allotting the numbers from 1 to 9, where 1 represented Dislike extremely, 2, Dislike very much, 3, Dislike moderately, 4, Dislike slightly, 5,Neither like nor dislike, 6, Like slightly, 7, Like moderately, 8, Like very much and 9, Like extremely.

Result and Discussion

Colour

L* value for colour

The in control decreased from 62.03 to 25.37 while it was better maintained in chitosan coated fruits with less amount of decrease. The mean of the treatments shows that the L* value for colour was maintained better inT_3 and T_4 treatments.

The maximum L* value for colour was found in T_3 (35.58) which was at par with T_4 (34.33) followed by T_2 (33.43) and T_5 (31.55) while minimum colour L* value was found in T_1 (25.37) on 7th day of storage.

Colour is one of the major visual attributes of fruits. The change in colour of sapota fruits from light brown to dark brown continued over the storage period due to which there is decrease in colour values. Control fruits showed faster colour change than coated ones. Lower rate of decrease in colour values found in coated fruits indicated that edible coating has relatively delayed the browning and colour development of the peel which leads to slower changes in colour development. The slow colour development can be attributed to modified internal atmosphere created within the fruit (Saha et al., 2015)[17].

a* value for colour

During initial days of storage i.e., 0 to 2nd day, the a* value for colour was found to be non-significant, while the a* value for colour was increased from 3th day to 7th day of storage the minimum a* value for colour was observed in T_3 (11.32) which was at par with T_4 (11.40) while, maximum a* values for colour was recorded in T_1 (11.58).

Result of similar findings was observed in experiment on Study physico-chemical properties of sapota (Achras Sapota L.)Sapota a* values of sapota fruits was found in between 7.10 to 10.42 and average a* value of sapota were 7.14±0.02 (Jadhav et al., 2018). Colour of outer peel of sapota was turning to

UNDER PEER REVIEW

reddish brown. However, this colour change towards red was more in control than that of treated ones and this edible coating could delayed ripening process of Sapota fruit there by becoming more reddish brown (Padmaja et al., 2015)[15].

Table No.1 Effect of chitosan based edible coating on L* value and a* value for colour of sapota fruits cv. Kallipatti during storage.

	L* value for colour								a* value for colour							
Treatments	**Days of Storage**								**Days of Storage**							
	0	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**	**7**	**0**	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**	**7**
T_1	62	58.4	56.2	50.2	43.6	37.3	32.7	25.4	5.82	6.27	7.63	8.74	9.31	10.5	11.2	11.6
T_2	63.1	60.5	59	54.7	46	39.9	35.3	33.4	5.51	6.18	7.1	7.79	9.08	10.4	10.9	11.5
T_3	63.9	60.6	58.1	52.1	46.3	42.4	38.4	35.6	5.34	5.75	6.46	7.52	8.63	9.85	10.6	11.3
T_4	64.3	63.1	59.9	53.1	48.5	44.2	38.9	34.3	5.50	5.9	6.88	7.86	8.71	9.65	10.7	11.4
T_5	63.3	60.6	57.7	50.9	46.1	39.9	34.5	31.6	5.13	6.04	7.23	8.08	9.23	10.2	10.9	11.4
Mean	**63.3**	**60.6**	**58.2**	**52.2**	**40.7**	**36**	**36**	**32.1**	**5.46**	**6.03**	**7.06**	**8**	**10.1**	**10.9**	**10.9**	**11.4**
S.E ±	2.41	3.02	2.39	2.94	2.91	1.12	0.91	0.43	0.25	0.3	0.26	0.14	0.13	0.09	0.07	0.03
CD @ 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	3.66	2.96	1.41	NS	NS	NS	0.47	0.41	0.3	0.24	0.1



Fig 1: Effect of chitosan based edible coating on L* value for colourof sapota fruits cv. Kallipatti during storage



Fig 2: Effect of chitosan based edible coating on a* values for colourof sapota fruits cv. Kallipatti during storage

b* value for colour

The b* values for colour showed a decreasing trend of values for both control and treated sapota. The b* value for colour was found to be non-significant at the initial days of storage. On 7th day of storage significantly maximum b* values for colour was found in T_3 (17.35), while minimum b* value for colour was found in T_1 (14.39). The result of similar findings was observed that b* value of colour in sapota fruits ranges between 37.26 to 41.91 and average value of b* is 40.50±0.03 (Jadhav et al., 2018). b* value shows a decreasing trend of values for both control and treated sapota. The degree of decrease was more in control fruits (Padmaja et al., 2015)[15].

Specific gravity

The specific gravity was found to be non-significant during the initial days of storage. Maximum specific gravity was found in T_3 (1.09), (1.110), (1.088) and T_4 (1.100), (1.090) repectively, while minimum specific gravity were observed in T_1 (1.066) and T_5 (1.061).

The findings of Khanvilkar et al. (2018) in sapota cv. 'Kalipatti' support the current studies. Jain et al. (2019) and Baidya et al. (2019) observed significant variation in fruit weight and volume, which resulted in variation in specific gravity of sapota fruits (2020).The specific gravity of mature sapota fruit has been indicated between 1.025 and 1.10 depending on the cultivar, for ‘Kalipatti’ it has been reported as being 1.10 Awasarmal et al. (2011)[5].

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table No. 2 Effect of chitosan based edible coating on b* values and Specific gravity for colourof sapota fruits cv. Kallipatti during storage.

Treatments	b* value for colour								Specific gravity							
	Days of storage								Days of storage							
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
T_1	29.6	28.4	26.1	23.8	20.2	18.6	16.1	14.4	1.02	1.02	1.03	1.06	1.07	1.07	1.05	1.03
T_2	29.7	27.6	24.7	23.3	20.5	19.3	17.3	15.3	1.02	1.02	1.04	1.08	1.08	1.08	1.06	1.07
T_3	31.8	30	28.6	26.9	24.5	22	19.4	17.4	1.02	1.03	1.05	1.07	1.09	1.11	1.09	1.09
T_4	30.8	28.2	28.1	25.3	24	22.2	18.5	16.2	1.02	1.02	1.05	1.07	1.08	1.1	1.11	1.09
T_5	30.3	28.8	27.4	25.2	23.4	21.4	18.4	16.4	1.02	1.03	1.04	1.06	1.07	1.06	1.06	1.05
Mean	**30.43**	**28.57**	**26.97**	**24.9**	**20.72**	**17.94**	**17.94**	**15.92**	**1.016**	**1.024**	**1.039**	**1.067**	**1.077**	**1.084**	**1.075**	**1.065**
S.E ±	0.55	0.5	0.97	0.81	0.46	0.38	0.25	0.24	0	0	0	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0
CD @ 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	1.49	1.23	0.82	0.78	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.01

UNDER PEER REVIEW



Fig 3: Effect of chitosan based edible coating on b* values for colourof sapota fruits cv. Kallipatti during storage.



Fig 4: Effect of chitosan based edible coating on specific gravity (kg m^{-3}) of sapota fruits cv. Kalipatti during storage.

Physiological loss in weight (%)

The data revealed that the different concentrations of chitosan treatments exerted their significant effects on PLW from 4th day of storage. Fruits under control not survived on day 5, whereas fruits in T_3 and T_4 treatments not survived on day 7. On 5th day maximum PLW was recorded in T_1 (18.28%) while minimum was recorded in T_3 and T_4 treatments (10.33%) and (11.77%) respectively, while on 7th day maximum PLW was recorded in T_1 (28.64%) while minimum was recorded in T_3 (15.67).

Chitosan coating forms a thin and transparent layer on the sapota skin surface that contributes to slowing down the dehydration process, responsible for the fruit weight loss. Our results are in agreement with previous studies that demonstrated that chitosan coating retarded fruit weight loss in different fruit crops, such as strawberry, sweet cherry, loquat, and plum (Petriccione et al., 2019)[16].

Fruit firmness (kg/cm^2)

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Chitosan exerted effect on fruit firmness at initial storage period was found to be non-significant. The fruit firmness was found to be non-significant during the initial days of storage to 2nd day, while the fruit firmness was continuously decreased from 3rd day to 7th days of storage.

The firmness increased irrespective of treatments in the storage duration. Fruits under control were not survived on day 5 whereas fruits in T2 and T3 treatment survived on day 7. On 5th day of storage minimum firmness was recorded in T_3 (10.33 kg/cm^2), whereas the maximum fruit firmness was recorded in T_1 (18.28 kg/cm^2). At the end of storage lowest firmness (15.67 kg/cm^2) observed in T_3 treatment. 1% chitosan coating showed a highest firmness at 7th day of storage.

The retention of firmness with chitosan coating is in agreement with the results of Ali el al. (2011) that the higher firmness of the coated fruits may be due to the fact that as respiration rate is reduced also reduced is the degradation of water-insoluble calcium pectate (Ca-pectate) or calcium bridge that renders strength to the fruit skin according to the (Keneko et al., 2002)[10].

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table No.3 Effect of chitosan based edible coating on physiological loss in weight (%) and Fruit firmness (kg/cm^2) of sapota fruits cv. Kalipatti during storage.

Treatments	Physiological loss in weight (%)									Fruit firmness (kg/cm^2)							
		Days of storage								Days of storage							
	0	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**	**7**	**8**	**0**	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**	**7**
T_1	0	2.78	4.89	7.96	12.8	18.3	22.9	24.4	28.6	65.9	57	48.9	39.8	31.9	19.9	17.9	11
T_2	0	2.61	4.86	6.98	11.9	13.3	17.5	21	22.9	68.4	56.4	48.2	39.8	33.6	24.5	19.5	12.6
T_3	0	3.13	4.7	6.31	8.69	10.3	11.4	14.1	15.7	67.3	61.5	53.1	45.8	38.4	30.2	23.7	15.2
T_4	0	2.75	4.73	6.91	9.45	11.8	12	16.1	19.1	66	59.4	50.8	44.9	39.3	30.9	24	15.1
T_5	0	3.01	4.99	7.34	10	12	15.6	17.7	18.6	69.7	57.2	49.2	40.3	34.3	24.3	20.8	12.9
Mean	**0**	**2.86**	**4.83**	**7.1**	**10.56**	**13.12**	**15.88**	**18.64**	**20.98**	**67.45**	**58.29**	**50.04**	**42.12**	**35.48**	**25.93**	**21.19**	**13.34**
S.E ±	0	0.29	0.31	0.39	0.36	0.25	0.25	0.22	0.21	1.8	1.38	1.24	0.69	0.61	0.52	0.5	0.33
CD @ 5%	0	NS	NS	NS	1.17	0.81	0.8	0.71	0.67	NS	NS	NS	2.25	1.98	1.68	1.64	1.06

UNDER PEER REVIEW



Fig 5: Effect of chitosan based edible coating on Physiological loss in weight (%) of sapota fruits cv. Kalipatti during storage.



Fig 6: Effect of chitosan based edible coating on Fruit firmness(kg/cm^2) of sapota fruits cv. Kallipatti during storage.

Ripening and spoilage pattern

Maximum unripe fruits were observed in T_3 (15.38%) and T_4 (12.31%) on 5th day, while maximum half ripe fruits were observed in T_4 (33.85%), whereas minimum half ripe fruits was noted in T_2 (12.15%) and half ripe fruits was not observed in T_1 at same day.

At 7th day of storage, maximum ripe fruits were noted in T_3 (76.92%) and T_4 (73.85%), while minimum was noted in T_1 (44.15%). Maximum shriveling and spoilage were observed in T_1 (28.8 %), followed by T_5 (23.08%). Maximum diseased fruits are observed in T_2 (30.77%) and T_1 (27.05 %) respectively, while minimum were noted in T_3 (12.31%) and T_4 (15.38%) respectively.

Shriveling of sapota decreased with increase in the level of chitosan concentration for post-harvest coating of sapota. As the chitosan coating acts as a gas barrier, it slows down the loss of the respiration processes and moisture loss and allows retention of the firmness of fruits during storage. The results are confirmly as also reported (Yaman and Bayoundurh, 2002)[22] in grape. It might be due to loss of moisture affecting the firmness of the berries during storage demonstrated by (Yadav et al., 2022)[21].

Table No.4 Effect of chitosan based edible coating on ripening and spoilage pattern (%) of sapota fruits cv. Kallipatti during storage.

Treatments	Days of Storages								
	Stages	**0**	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**	**7**
T_1	**UR**	100	93.85	60.15	41.25	10.86			
	HR		6.15	30.14	42.5	22.56			
	R			9.71	16.25	46.36	70.55	46.15	44.15
	S					10.52	15.34	27.7	28.8
	D					9.7	14.11	26.15	27.05
T_2	**UR**	100	92.31	85.31	56.55	31			
	HR		7.69	14.69	33.33	35.31	12.15		
	R				10.12	30.61	65.41	61.54	49.23
	S					3.08	15.14	15.38	20
	D						7.3	23.08	30.77
T_3	**UR**	100	100	80	68.46	38.46	15.38		
	HR			20	31.54	33.85	30.77	18.46	
	R					27.69	53.85	63.08	76.92
	S							9.23	10.77
	D							9.23	12.31
T_4	**UR**	100	95.38	73.85	52.31	27.69	12.31		
	HR		4.62	26.15	43.08	53.85	33.85	7.69	
	R				4.61	18.46	49.23	69.23	73.85
	S						4.61	10.77	10.77
	D							12.31	15.38
T_5	**UR**	100	92.31	86.15	66.1	18.46			
	HR		7.69	13.85	33.9	46.15	30.77	6.15	
	R					35.39	46.15	61.54	53.85
	S						9.23	15.38	23.08
	D						13.85	16.93	23.07
	Total	**100**	**100**	**100**	**100**	**100**	**100**	**100**	**100**

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Sensory evaluation

There were significant difference in all parameters of colour, flavor, texture, and overall acceptability. The flavor of the fruits with 1% and 1.5% coating was rated excellent (7.7 and 7.4), while minimum scoring was rated in control fruits (6.6).

The texture of the fruits with 1% and 1.5% coating was rated excellent (8.1 and 8) respectively, while minimum scoring was rated in control fruits (6.8).

Overall acceptability of the fruits with 1% and 1.5% coating was rated excellent (8.4 and 8.1) respectively, while minimum scoring was rated in control fruits (7.4).

Sensory attributes of the papaya fruits treated with 1.5% chitosan concentration demonstrated the overall superiority, after 5 weeks of cold storage (Ali et al., 2011)[1]. (Kittur et al., 2001)[12] noticed that 1.0% chitosan coated mangoes has better sensory traits than control and the waxol treated mangoes, after 21 days of storage.

Table No.5 Effect of chitosan based edible coating on sensory quality parameters of sapota fruits cv. Kallipatti during storage.

Sensory quality parameters				
Treatment	**Colour**	**Flavor**	**Texture**	**Overall acceptability**
T_1- Control	7.25	6.68	6.85	7.40
T_2-0.5%Chitosan	7.75	7.30	7.53	8.03
T_3-1% Chitosan	8.50	7.78	8.15	8.43
T_4-1.5% Chitosan	8.00	7.40	8.00	8.15
T_5-2% Chitosan	7.25	7.00	7.25	7.95
Mean	**7.75**	**7.23**	**7.56**	**7.99**
S.E ±	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.04
CD @ 5%	0.26	0.24	0.20	0.67



Fig 7: Effect of chitosan based edible coating on sensory evaluation of sapota fruits cv. Kallipatti during storage.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Conclusion

It was determined that chitosan has potential to be used as a coating technique for better sapota fruit storage.It can be concluded from the present investigation that the fruits treated with chitosan coating @ 1% followed by 1.5% helps in maintaining quality and shelf life of sapota fruits up to 7th day of storage. The fruits retained desirable colour, texture and postharvest quality till the end of their storage life.

REFERENCES

1. Ali, A., Muhammad, M.T.M., Sijam, K. and Siddiqui, Y. 2011. Effect of chitosan coatings on the physicochemical characteristics of Eksotika II papaya (Carica papaya L.) fruit during cold storage. *Food Chemistry* 124(2): 620-626.

2. Amerine, M. A., Pangborn, R. M., & Rocssler, E. B. 1965. Principles of sensory evaluation of food. London: Academic Press.

3. Anand, P., Kulkarni, R.S., Policegoudra, and Aradhya, S., "Chemical composition and antioxidant activity of sapota (Achras sapota linn.) fruit", *Journal of Food Biochemistry*, 31, 2007, 399-414.

4. AOAC (Association of Official Analytical Chemists), Official Methods of Analysis. 16th Ed. Virginia, USA, 1994

5. Awasarmal, A.B., Soni, S.B. and Divekar, S.P., 2011. Effect of different packaging materials on shelf life of sapota fruit. *International Journal of Processing and Post Harvest Technology*, *2*(2):125-128.

6. Baidya, B.K., Mahato, A., Pattnaik, R.K. and Sethy, P., 2020. A Review of physiological and biochemical changes related to ripening along with post-harvest handling and treatments of Sapota. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, *9*(4),.2030-2035.

7. Jain, S. K., Malshe, K. V and Pawar, C. D 2019. Effect of GA3 and NAA on fruit quality and storage characteristics of fruit in sapota cv. Kalipatti. *International Journal of Chemical Studies,* 8(1): 1667-1671.

8. Jaishankar h. P. and laxman kukanoor, "Effect of Post Harvest Treatments on Physiological Changes of Sapota cv. Kalipatti at Ambient Storage." *Advances in Life Sciences 5(7),* Print : ISSN 2278-3849, 2942-2949, 2016

9. Kaya, M., Cesoniene, L., Daubaras, R., Leskauskaite, D. and Zabulione, D. 2016. Chitosan Coating of Red Kiwifruit (Actinidia melanandra) for Extending of the Shelf Life. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 85: 355-360.

10. Keneko, T., Claybon, R., Barringer, S.A., 2002. Consumer acceptability of color in processed tomato products by African, American, Latino and prototypical consumers. *Journal of Food Quality* 25, 487–498.

11. Khanvilkar, M. H., Kaushik, R. A., Pawar, C. D., Pethe, U. B., Talha, P. M., Sarolia, D. K., Upadhyay, B. and Mahawer, L. N. 2018. Response of post harvest treatments of various chemical and plant

UNDER PEER REVIEW

growth regulators on physical parameters of sapota fruits cv. Kalipatti. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 6(2): 3429-3431.

12. Kittur, F.S., Saroja, N. and Tharanathan, R., 2001. Polysaccharide-based composite coating formulations for shelf-life extension of fresh banana and mango. *European food research and technology*, *213*(4),.306-311.

13. Lakshminarayana, S., Subramanyam, H., "Physical, chemical and physiological changes in sapota fruit [Achras sapota Linn. (Sapotaceae)] during development and ripening", *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 3, 1966, 151–154.

14. Madani, B., Mirshekari, A., Yahia, E. and Golding, J.B., 2018. Sapota (*Manilkara achras* Forb.) Factors Influencing Fresh and Processed Fruit Quality. *Horticultural Reviews*, *45*,.105-142.

15. Padmaja, N., S.J.D. Bosco, and J.S. Rao. 2015. Physicochemical analysis of sapota (*Manilkara zapota*) coated by edible aloe vera gel. *Int. J. Appl. Sci. Biotechnol*. 3:20–25.

16. Petriccione, M Adiletta, G., Zampella, L., Coletta, C. and., 2019. Chitosan coating to preserve the qualitative traits and improve antioxidant system in fresh figs (*Ficus carica* L.). *Agriculture*, *9*(4), .84.

17. Saha, A., Gupta, R.K. and Tyagi, Y. 2014 'Effects of edible coatings on the shelf life and quality of potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) tubers during storage', *Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research*, 6, pp. 802–809.

18. Srivastava, A.K., Kashyap, P., Meena, V.S., Verma, N. and Singh, S.P., 2017. Sapota [(Manilkara achras (Mill.) Fosberg (Syn: Achras zapota L.)]. *Underutilized Fruit Crops: Importance and Cultivation*, *1159*, p.1194.

19. Sudha, R., Amutha, R., Muthulaksmi, S., Baby, R. W., Indira, K. and Mareeswari, P., 2007, Influence of pre and post harvest chemical treatments on physical characteristics of Sapota (Achras sapota L.) var. PKM 1. *Res. J. of Agri. and Biol. Sci*, 3 (5): 450-452.

20. Xing, Y., Xu, Q., Li, X., Chen, C., Ma, L., Li, S., Che, Z. and Lin, H., 2016. Chitosan-based coating with antimicrobial agents: preparation, property, mechanism, and application effectiveness on fruits and vegetables. *International Journal of Polymer Science*, *2016*.

21. Yadav, V.B. and Sargar, K.H.P.Y.A., 2022. Effect of pre and post-harvest application of chitosan on chemical parameters quality of grape Cv. *Manik Chaman* during storage at 0 C temperature.

22. Yaman O, Bayoındırlı L. Effects of an edible coating and cold storage on shelf-life and quality of cherries. *LWTFood science and Technology*. 2002;35(2):146-150.

UNDER PEER REVIEW