

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_99939
Title of the Manuscript:	Monitoring of Melon Fruit Fly, <i>Zeugodacus cucurbitae</i> using Para-pheromone Traps in Bitter Gourd (<i>Momordica charantia</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Among different insect-pests, melon fruit flies (<i>Zeugodacus cucurbitae</i> and <i>Z. tau</i>) have been recorded as a serious pest causing severe damage to cucurbits and the losses can be as high as 100 per cent. It is very difficult to manage this particular pest because except adults remaining life stages are hidden. Therefore, monitoring pest population in relation to weather parameters will help in determining appropriate time of action and suitable method of management. Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes It would be appropriate if two figures are combined to only one	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Suitable	
Optional/General comments	The research paper can be considered for publication after revision as <i>Short Communication</i>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prem Chand Sharma
Department, University & Country	CSK Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishvavidyalaya, India