

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_100436
Title of the Manuscript:	Double outlet right ventricle infective endocarditis: a rare combination and a therapeutic challenge
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes, with a few minor clarifications and corrections: In Case Report 1: 8th paragraph : 5th line, CRP value is 200-27mg/L-please check for the discrepancies. In Case Report 1: 5th paragraph, blood cell count can be represented as 26×10^3, or 26×10^3- please correct. In Case Report 2:5th paragraph : white blood cell count at 7506 ele/mm³ – please check and confirm.</p> <p>6. 6.Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>A few minor changes In Case report 1: 4th paragraph: Ceftazidime spelling correction. 7th paragraph Levofloxacin spelling correction.</p> <p>In Discussion: 3rd and 4th line: It should be DORV (Double outlet right ventricle) instead of DROV.</p> <p>Discussion 2nd Paragraph, 7th line: Duke criteria should begin with a capital "D"</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aditi Munmun Sengupta
Department, University & Country	University of Calcutta, CMRI Hospital, India