

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_100429
Title of the Manuscript:	Combination Prediction of Income Gap Between Urban and Rural Residents in China Based on IOWA Operator
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is relevant and important for the scientific community as regards analytical tools applied.</p> <p>Try: "Accuracy of Predicting Income Gap Between Urban and Rural Residents in China Using IOWA Operator."</p> <p>Usually, the "Abstract" is one monolithic/indivisible long paragraph with no sub-headings, etc, terminating in results and conclusions with only Key Words" being stand-alone. NB: Some key words are missing.</p> <p>The sub-sections of the study are appropriate, but after "conclusions", it is always good scientific practice for a researcher to highlight gaps in the study and provide recommendations for future work on the subject. Secondly, the researcher should state who the stakeholders / beneficiaries of the study are. The introduction must include a statement of the problem which forms the basis of the hypothesis being tested.</p> <p>Acceptable</p> <p>The references are appropriate, but limited in scope.</p> <p>Combination forecasting is an important tool for improving accuracy of forecasts and needs to be pursued further as Models like ARIMA have the tendency to retain heavy residual errors rendering such Models less accurate. AI modelling is another good alternative.</p> <p>In the analysis of the problem, it helps to identify the interacting variables that have an impact on the accuracy of the prediction results. Why are some models more accurate than others and why is the combination model more promising? Captivate the attention and interest of the scientific readers by including a simple conceptual framework that identifies the various variables of interest.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Proficient language has been used for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>What does the widening differential earning between the two groups mean for the country? Is this therefore, a scientific article or social-economic study?</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elijah W Chindia
Department, University & Country	University of Nairobi, Kenya