

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_99471
Title of the Manuscript:	TASK-BASED LEARNING (TBL) APPROACH: AN EFFECTIVE METHOD FOR IMPROVING ENGLISH LANGUAGE COMMUNICATION SKILLS IN PUBLIC PRIMARY SCHOOLS IN MOROGORO MUNICIPALITY, TANZANIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes the title is very good and useful</p> <p>2. The title and subject are applicable in the educational and scientific field</p> <p>3. it is well constructed (Significance of study , methodology and conclusion)</p> <p>4. the subsections and structure of the article are very well organized</p> <p>5. this paper can be considered as a good research result for the educational and scientific field</p> <p>6. the sources and bibliography are authentic and modern ones (not more than 10 years) and based on correct form of APA</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	YES IT HAS CLEAR AND SCIENTIFIC LANGUAGE	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	IT IS VERY GOOD AND IT CAN BE PUBLISHED WITHOUT CORRECTION AND EDITION	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kian Pishkar
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran