

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_100312
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparative Study to Assess the Knowledge and Practices of homemakers on food hygiene at Dhemaji district of Assam
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Overall, the article presents a comparative study conducted to assess the knowledge and practices of food hygiene among homemakers in Dhemaji District, Assam. The objectives of the study were clearly stated, and a descriptive research design was used. The sample consisted of 120 homemakers, with an equal representation of rural and urban respondents who owned at least one refrigerator. Data was collected using a structured interview schedule developed by the researcher and analyzed using descriptive statistics.</p> <p>One notable finding from the study is that urban respondents displayed better knowledge and practices of food hygiene compared to rural respondents. This finding suggests a disparity between rural and urban areas in terms of food hygiene awareness and implementation.</p> <p>However, there are a few areas that could be further addressed or clarified in the article:</p> <p>Justification of Sample Selection: The rationale for selecting homemakers with at least one refrigerator in their households could be explained further. How does refrigerator ownership relate to food hygiene practices? Providing a brief explanation or justification for this criterion would enhance the understanding of the sample selection process.</p> <p>Interview Schedule: The article mentions the use of a structured interview schedule, but it would be beneficial to provide more details about the specific questions or areas covered in the schedule. This information would help readers understand the scope and content of the interviews.</p> <p>Statistical Analysis: While the article mentions the use of descriptive statistics to analyze the data, it would be helpful to provide more details about the specific statistical measures employed. Descriptive statistics can include measures such as mean, standard deviation, and frequency distributions. Mentioning which measures were used would enhance the transparency of the analysis.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Fix grammatical issues Check typos</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Follow author guidelines</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Asif Wali
Department, University & Country	Karakoram International University , Pakistan